The Atlantic Canada General Relativity Regional Meeting
University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, NB
May 5-7, 2006

arXiv:gr-qc/0701116v1 22 Jan 2007

Averaging Problem in Cosmology and Macroscopic Gravity

Roustam Zalaletdinov

Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science
St Francis Xavier University, Antigonish, Nova Scotia

Contents

1. Introduction to General Relativity
2. General Relativity $\longmapsto$ Relativistic Cosmology
3. Introduction to Relativistic Cosmology
4. Relativistic Cosmology $\longmapsto$ Mathematical Cosmology
5. Averaging Problem in Relativistic Cosmology
6. History of the Averaging Problem
7. Averaging and FLRW Cosmologies
8. Averaging Problem in General Relativity
9. Macroscopic Gravity and General Relativity
10. Macroscopic Gravity and Cosmology
11. The System of Macroscopic Gravity Equations
12. An Exact Cosmological Solution

1. Introduction to General Relativity

⇒ Since the discovery of the General Relativity Theory in 1915 by A. Einstein it is believed to be a correct theory of classical gravitational phenomena.

⇒ The starting point in the classical studies of gravitational phenomena is to determine the so-called line element

$$\boxed{ds^2 = g_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta} \tag{1}$$

where ds^2 is the distance between two neighboring points $x^\alpha + dx^\alpha$ and x^α, dx^α is an infinitesimal displacement vector along the corresponding coordinate lines which are labeled by the indices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, ... = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is the metric tensor. The index 0 stands usually for one dimension of time, and indices from 1 to 3 for 3 space dimensions.

⇒ The distance ds^2 defines the geometrical properties of a 4-dimensional manifold of time and space, which is called a space-time. It is known that the geometry of general relativistic space-times is pseudo-Riemannian.

⇒ The field equations of General Relativity are Einstein's equations

$$R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} R = -\kappa T_{\alpha\beta} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \boxed{\text{GEOMETRY=MATTER}} \tag{2}$$

where $R_{\alpha\beta}$ and R are the Ricci tensor and scalar curvature, $T_{\alpha\beta}$ is an energy-momentum tensor of matter and radiation, κ is the Einstein constant, $\kappa = 8\pi G/c^4$, where G is Newton's gravitational constant and c is the velocity of light. Einstein's equations are second order partial differential equations for 10 unknown components of the metric tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}$.

⇒ The equations of motion for matter and radiation follow from Einstein's equations

$$T^{\alpha\beta}{}_{;\beta} = 0, \tag{3}$$

as a result of the pseudo-Riemannian geometry of space-time. These equations are employed in order to interpret the local (e.g. solar system) and large scale (e.g. cosmological) observations, on the one hand, and to construct mathematical models in order to predict the evolution of gravitational phenomena, on the other.

2. General Relativity $\longmapsto$ Relativistic Cosmology

$\Rightarrow$ From a theoretical point of view, the main idea is to treat the equations of motion (3) as a correspondence rule. Whereby given the form of the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\alpha\beta}$ and assuming a structure of the corresponding metric tensor (1), the space-time geometry can be specified by solving Einstein's equations (2). The resulting predictions of the theory are then to be compared with real observations, in order to ascertain its viability as a theory of gravity on all relevant scales, including the cosmological ones.

General Relativity it is considered valid on all classical physical scales

$\Rightarrow$ It is well known that general relativity has been extremely successful in accounting for local observations, including the classical tests in the Solar System and the observations of binaries. Here, however, it is instructive to contrast this with the status of General Relativity as a theory of gravity on cosmological scales, for which there is much less detailed direct and observational evidence. The main reason for this is not just the usual "uncertainty" brought about by the errors of our measurements which are bound to be present in all cosmological observations. It relates to the very nature of real cosmological observations and the difficulties that arise when attempts are made to construct an appropriate theoretical framework for cosmological models in General Relativity.

$\Rightarrow$ Our understanding of general relativistic Cosmology, that is, the large-scale structure of our Universe, has been unchanged now for decades since the discovery (Friedmann - 1922, Lemaî tre - 1927, Robertson -1935, Walker -1935) of the Friedmann-Lemaî tre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) cosmological solutions to Einstein's equations. They assume that in some statistical sense, or averaged over large volumes, the Universe is spatially homogeneous and isotropic. Such a state is described by the Robertson-Walker line element

$$ds^2_{\rm FLRW} = -dt^2 + a^2(t)[\frac{dr^2}{(1-kr^2)} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)] \tag{4}$$

where $a(t)$ is the expansion factor of Universe and the parameter k is the curvature parameter which is equal to $+1$ for a closed model of Universe, -1 for an open model and 0 for a flat model where 3-dimensional homogeneous and isotropic spaces are 3-spheres, hyperbolic or flat 3-spaces, correspondingly. Hereafter the unit system $c = 1$ is used.

3. Introduction to Relativistic Cosmology

$\Rightarrow$ Einstein's equations (2) for the line element (4) called Friedmann's equation read

$$\left(\frac{1}{a}\frac{da}{dt}\right)^2 = \frac{\kappa\rho}{3} - \frac{k}{a^2} \tag{5}$$

where ρ is the matter density. Friedmann's equation describes the evolution of the Universe as either an expanding, collapsing or being spatially flat space-time.

$\Rightarrow$ The factor $H = \dot{a}/a$ called the Hubble parameter measures the rate v of expansion or collapse as $v = HR$, $R = a(t)r$. The curvature parameter k can be expressed through the current value of Hubble parameter $H_0 = 100(\mathrm{km/s}\ Mps)h_0$, $1/2 < h_0 < 1$, and the parameter $\Omega_0 = \rho_0/\rho_c$ which measures the ratio of the current value of matter density ρ_0 to the critical one ρ_c,

$$\boxed{k = a_0^2 H_0^2 \left(\Omega_0 - 1\right)} \tag{6}$$

This fundamental formula relates the physics of the Universe with its global structure, topology, its matter density and the character of its evolution.

$\Rightarrow$ The FLRW models serve as the main theoretical laboratory for prediction, development and verification of our astrophysical and cosmological experiments and data. Up to the end of XXth century the whole body of cosmological evidence had been showing in favor of an open model when $\Omega_0 = \rho_0/\rho_c < 1$.

$\Rightarrow$ Despite the enormous progress made in understanding the Universe structure on the basis of FLRW models, there is, however, a number of theoretical and experimental issues which are in contradiction with them.

$\Rightarrow$ Age of Universe: Calculation of the age of Universe for a matter-dominated era for a flat model gives the value

$$t_0 = \frac{2}{3H_0} = \frac{20}{3}h_0^{-1}\mathrm{Gyr} \tag{7}$$

that is 7×10^9 yr $< t_0 < 1.3 \times 10^{10}$ yr, which far beyond the observational limits. For open and closed models the situation is even worse.

3. Introduction to Relativistic Cosmology - 2

$\Rightarrow$ Dark Matter of Universe: The observational evaluation of the luminous (baryonic) matter of the Universe shows its presence in the amount of

$$\Omega_{\rm bar} = \rho_{\rm bar}/\rho_c = 0.03 \tag{8}$$

However, observations of the dynamics of galaxies and clusters of galaxies have revealed the dynamical effects due to some unseen, "dark" matter which interacts only gravitationally. Its nature is unknown, but it cannot be baryonic, that is, composed from known so far particles which form the luminous (baryonic) matter (hydrogen, helium, lithium, boron, etc.). The evaluation of the amount of this mysterious dark matter gives

$$\Omega_{\rm dark} = \rho_{\rm dark}/\rho_c = 0.3 \tag{9}$$

which is 10 times more than usual forms of matter and radiation.

$\Rightarrow$ Acceleration of Universe: In 1998 the measurements of type Ia supernova light curves, in particular SN 1997ff, have provided clear evidence that the Universe is speeding up, not slowing down, as it has been and should be expected from FLRW models. This is rather surprising, unexpected discovery led to an assumption of the existence of a cosmological agent called the dark energy which causes this acceleration. Its nature is not known as yet, but, apparently, it emits no light, i.e. it is "dark", and it must have its pressure to be negative and comparable in magnitude with its energy density, i.e. it is not any kind of "matter". Dark energy can only interact gravitationally. The evaluation of the contribution due to this mysterious dark energy gives

$$\Omega_\Lambda = \rho_\Lambda/\rho_c = 0.7 \tag{10}$$

which is about 2/3 of all energy-matter content the Universe provided $\Omega_0 = 1$ or close to 1. The current hypotheses about dark energy mostly assume that it originates from a cosmological constant Λ which can be incorporated into Einstein's equations.

$\Rightarrow$ Flatness of Universe: Observations and measurements of the properties of cosmic microwave background (CMB), especially, the anisotropy of CMB, carried out for a few decades, and recently in 2000-2002 with the much improved resolution, imply that the parameter $\Omega_0 = \rho_0/\rho_c$ which measures the ratio of the current value of matter density ρ_0 to the critical one ρ_c, is

$$\Omega_0 = 1 \pm 0.04. \tag{11}$$

Thus, $k = 0$ (6) and our Universe appears to be spatially flat.

3. Introduction to Relativistic Cosmology - 3

$\Rightarrow$ ... And having almost all its energy-matter content in totally "dark", unseen forms:

$$\Omega_{\rm dark} + \Omega_\Lambda + \Omega_{\rm bar} = 1 \gg \Omega_{\rm bar}. \tag{12}$$

4. Relativistic Cosmology $\longmapsto$ Mathematical Cosmology

$\Rightarrow$ This value of the age of Universe is in disagreement with the most likely values for globular cluster ages. The situation with the dark matter and dark energy even worse since even no reliable physical hypotheses exist and can be proposed for now. It can be improved for the latter to some extent by taking into account a positive cosmological constant, but its introduction into Einstein's equations (2) does change their structure. But, that opens other problems with the nature and properties of such a new physical constant.

$\Rightarrow$ These problems refers to the so-called Standard model consistency which calls for observational and theoretical tests of consistency of the standard FLRW model.

$\Rightarrow$ Relativistic Cosmology is based on the Cosmological Principle:

The large-scale structure of the Universe (at least in its observable part) is well-described by expanding homogeneous and isotropic FLRW geometry

$\Rightarrow$ The main goal of Relativistic Cosmology is to find out whether or not the Cosmological Principle holds, that is, if it does provide realistic observational physics of the evolving Universe in an adequate geometrical space-time picture.

Relativistic Cosmology = Observational Cosmology + Theoretical Cosmology

$\Rightarrow$ Observational Cosmology is determining the space-time geometry and physics of the Universe from the observational data:

▶ observational constraints: we essentially see the Universe from one space-time point down one null cone

▶ observational cosmology programme: how to determine the space-time geometry from null cone data

▶ proof of homogeneity: we observe a high degree of isotropy, but what about spatial geometry?

▶ standard model parameters: H_0, Ω_0, ρ_c...,

▶ standard FLRW model consistency: age of Universe, dark matter, dark energy, etc.

$\Rightarrow$ Theoretical Cosmology called often Mathematical Cosmology is determining the space-time geometry and physics of the Universe from known physical theories to fit the observational data and/or proposing new theoretical models capable of more realistic description.

5. Averaging Problem in Relativistic Cosmology

⇒ Mathematical Cosmology:

• Cosmological Dynamics - the nature of the dynamical Universe evolution (description, equations, consistency, acceleration, isotropization, singularities, etc.),

• Realistic Models - the dynamical and evolutional content of relation of local lumpiness to the overall smooth cosmos (fitting and averaging, creation of structures, horizons, arrow of time, etc.), dark matter models, dark energy models.

• Inflationary and Cosmological Creation - the dynamical picture of growth of local structures into the large-scale structures of physical Universe, etc.

The real Universe is lumpy, not smooth!

⇒ Our Universe seems to be isotropic and homogeneous at very large scales as supported by the observed isotropy of the cosmic microwave background. The present state of the actual Universe is, however, neither homogeneous nor isotropic on scales $\lesssim 100$ *Mps.*

⇒ Since the FLRW models, and more generally, Relativistic Cosmology of the Universe relies on the Cosmological Principle, the problem of dynamical justification of the large-scale smooth structure, given a lumpy inhomogeneous dynamics on smaller scales, takes the central place in Relativistic Cosmology (Ellis - 1984).

The Averaging Problem in Cosmology

Does an inhomogeneous Universe evolve on average like a homogeneous one?

▼

Why is the large-scale volume behavior of the lumpy Universe the same as that predicted by the smoothed out FLRW models?

▼

Is a homogeneous solution of Einstein's equations the same as an averaged solution for a inhomogeneous space-time?

▼

Are Einstein's equations "the same" when applied on different physical scales?

6. History of Averaging Problem

⇒ The main difficulties in doing averaging procedures in General Relativity:

1. Covariant volume averages (averages over compact subspaces) are difficult to define on a pseudo-Riemannian space-time because the integration of tensors is not generally defined on curved manifolds.

2. Statistical or ensemble averages also meet difficulties because they require a curved space-time manifold to be split into time and 3-space which cannot be accomplished generally.

3. General Relativity is a nonlinear theory for unknown components of metric tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and in general

$$\left\langle \left(R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} R \right) [g_{\rho\sigma}] \right\rangle \neq \left(\langle R_{\alpha\beta} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle g_{\alpha\beta} R \rangle \right) [\langle g_{\rho\sigma} \rangle] \tag{13}$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ stands for an average operator provided it is defined. One must split out the products

$$\langle g_{\alpha\beta} R \rangle = \langle g_{\alpha\beta} \rangle \langle R \rangle + C(g_{\alpha\beta}, R) \tag{14}$$

where $C(g_{\alpha\beta}, R)$ is a kind of a correlation tensor.

Averaging does modify Einstein's equations by an effective energy term

⇒ The overwhelming majority of approaches (Shirokov & Fisher - 1962, Isaacson - 1968, Szekeres - 1971, Noonan - 1984, Boersma -1998, Zotov & Stoeger, 1992, 1995, Stoeger et al - 1999, see review in Krasiński - 1997) made use of

■ a perturbation theory where the line element has the form

$$ds^2_{\text{pert}} = (g^{(B)}_{\alpha\beta} + h_{\alpha\beta}) dx^\alpha dx^\beta \tag{15}$$

where $g^{(B)}_{\alpha\beta}$ is the background metric tensor for a smooth background space-time and $h_{\alpha\beta}$ are the perturbation functions small compared with $g^{(B)}_{\alpha\beta}$

■ various non-covariant space and space-time volume averaging procedures

to arrive at the modified Einstein's equations

$$R^{(B)}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g^{(B)}_{\alpha\beta} R^{(B)} = -\kappa T_{\alpha\beta} + C_{\alpha\beta} \tag{16}$$

where the correlation tensor $C_{\alpha\beta}$ is defined as an average of quadratic terms in perturbation functions $h_{\alpha\beta}$ and it is the effective energy in physical meaning.

7. Averaging and FLRW Cosmologies

⇒ Inhomogeneous cosmological models which are homogeneous and isotropic on average:

♦ 3+1 cosmological space-time splitting with space volume averages (Kasai - 1992, 1993, Futamase - 1996, Buchert - 1999-2005).

♦ perturbed FLRW Universe with space averages (Futamase - 1988, 1991, 1993, Bildhauser & Futamase -1991).

⇒ Backreaction in Cosmology for a perturbed FLRW Universe with spatial volume averages (Bildhauser - 1990) and applying the renormalization group methods (Nambu - 2000).

⇒ Direct averaging of Friedmann's equation with space volume averages (Russ, Soffel, Kasai, Börner - 1997).

⇒ Averaging Newtonian cosmologies with spatial volume averages (Buchert & Ehlers - 1997, Iguchi, Hosoya & Koike -1998).

The size of the averaging space regions is tacitly assumed to be $\simeq 100\ Mps$

⇒ Modified Friedmann's equation by the appearance of effective average energy density $\rho_{\rm cor} \sim \langle(\partial h)^2\rangle$

$$\left(\frac{1}{a}\frac{da}{dt}\right)^2 = \frac{\kappa}{3}\left(\rho + \rho_{\rm cor}\right) - \frac{k}{a^2}. \tag{17}$$

An inhomogeneous Universe which is FLRW on average evolves differently!

★ The evolutional effect of the effective average energy density $\rho_{\rm cor}$ due to the self-interaction of gravitational inhomogeneities propagating on a FLRW homogeneous and isotropic cosmological space-time is able to change the age of the flat Universe up to larger than 17 Gyr as compared with $7\ \text{Gyr} < t_0 < 13$ Gyr in the standard FLRW picture - without any other additional hypothesis like the presence of cosmological constant or other cosmological fields.

★ A realistic cosmological model which reflects the real inhomogeneous structure of our Universe on smaller scales than the cosmological one does promise to fit our observational data.

8. Averaging Problem in General Relativity

$\Rightarrow$ The standard approach in Relativistic Cosmology based on the Cosmological Principle:

▼ Assumption 1: In the real complicated "lumpy" Universe with a discrete matter distribution on each physically distinct scale (of stars, galaxies, clusters of galaxies, etc.), the stress-energy tensor $T_{\alpha\beta} = T^{(\mathrm{discrete})}_{\alpha\beta}$ can be adequately approximated by a "smoothed", or hydrodynamic, stress-energy tensor

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = T^{(\mathrm{hydro})}_{\alpha\beta} = \langle T^{(\mathrm{discrete})}_{\alpha\beta} \rangle, \tag{18}$$

usually taken to be representable by a simple perfect fluid.

▼ Assumption 2: As $T^{(\mathrm{discrete})}_{\alpha\beta} \to T^{(\mathrm{hydro})}_{\alpha\beta}$ on the right-hand side of Einstein's equations (2), the structure of the field operator in the left-hand side is kept unchanged under such a change and therefore the appropriate field equations for describing the matter distribution $T^{(\mathrm{hydro})}_{ab}$ are taken in the form

$$\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} G_{\alpha\beta} \overline{R} = -\kappa T^{(\mathrm{hydro})}_{\alpha\beta} \tag{19}$$

where G_{ab} , $\overline{R}_{ab}$ and $\overline{R}$ are the metric, Ricci tensors and scalar curvature of a pseudo-Riemannian space-time geometry describing now the corresponding geometry created by the smoothed $T^{(\mathrm{hydro})}_{\alpha\beta}$. The corresponding equations of motion following from (19) are

$$T^{\alpha\beta(\mathrm{hydro})}_{;\beta} = 0 \tag{20}$$

Is there a firm physical and geometrical evidence that (18) does imply $R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} R \to \overline{R}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} G_{\alpha\beta} \overline{R} = \langle R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} R \rangle$?

$\Downarrow$

On what scale do Einstein's equations hold?

★ General Relativity as a classical theory of gravity is likely to be a microscopic theory (Tavakol & Zalaletdinov - 1998) which is physically adequate and realistic for treatment of the gravitational field created by a point-like discrete matter distribution.

★ The Averaging Problem of the fundamental importance arises in the applications of Einstein's equations in Cosmology and other settings where adequate matter distributions are smooth and continuous.

9. Macroscopic Gravity and General Relativity

⇒ Macroscopic gravity is a non-perturbative geometrical approach (Zalaletdinov - 1992-2005) to resolve the Averaging Problem: a reformulation in a broader context as the problem of macroscopic description of gravitation

▲ Classical physical phenomena possess two levels of description (Lorentz, 1897, 1916):

The microscopic description $\Longleftrightarrow$ The discrete matter model

$\downarrow$ by a suitable averaging procedure $\downarrow$

The macroscopic description $\Longleftrightarrow$ The continuous matter model

Lorentz 'theory of electrons		Maxwell's electrodynamics
$F^{\mu\nu}_{\ ,\nu} = \frac{4\pi}{c} j^{\mu} = 4\pi \sum_i q_i u^{\mu}(t_i)$	$\rightarrow \langle \text{averaging} \rangle \rightarrow$	$H^{\mu\nu}_{\ \ ,\nu} = \frac{4\pi}{c}\langle j \rangle^{\mu} = \frac{4\pi}{c}(J^{\mu} - cP^{\mu\nu}_{\ \ ,\nu})$
$F_{[\alpha\beta,\gamma]} = 0$	$\rightarrow \langle \text{averaging} \rangle \rightarrow$	$\langle F \rangle_{[\alpha\beta,\gamma]} = 0,\ H^{\mu\nu} = \langle F \rangle^{\mu\nu} + 4\pi P^{\mu\nu}$

⇒ The case of General Relativity is much more complicated:

AVERAGES Definition of covariant space, space-time volume or statistical averages on pseudo-Riemannian space-times

GEOMETRY The pseudo-Riemannian geometry of space-time, the nonlinear structure of the field operator of Einstein's equations and necessity to deal with gravitational field correlators

AVMATTER The problem of construction of models of smoothed, continuously distributed self-gravitating media $T^{(\text{hydro})}_{\alpha\beta} = \langle T^{(\text{discrete})}_{\alpha\beta} \rangle$.

★ Einstein's equations themselves are not sufficient to be consistently averaged out

$$\langle R_{\alpha\beta} \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} \rangle = -\kappa \langle T^{(\text{micro})}_{\alpha\beta} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle R_{\alpha\beta} \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle g_{\alpha\beta} \rangle \langle g^{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle R_{\mu\nu} \rangle + C_{\alpha\beta} = -\kappa \langle T^{(\text{micro})}_{\alpha\beta} \rangle$$

They become a definition of the correlation function $C_{\alpha\beta}$ unless this object is defined from outside the averaged Einstein's equations.

10. Macroscopic Gravity and Cosmology

⇒ AVERAGES A covariant space-time volume averaging procedure for tensor fields on pseudo-Riemannian space-time has been developed by defining particular averaging kernels which provide well-defined analytic properties of average field. Such kernels have been shown to always exist.

⇒ GEOMETRY The structure of the macroscopic (averaged) space-time geometry has been found by developing a procedure of a volume averaging out of Cartan's structure equations describing the pseudo-Riemannian geometry of space-time. The definition of all involved gravitational field (geometrical) correlators has been given.

⇒ AVMATTER The problem of construction of models of smoothed, continuously distributed self-gravitating media $T^{(\mathrm{hydro})}_{\alpha\beta} = \langle T^{(\mathrm{discrete})}_{\alpha\beta} \rangle$ has been analyzed recently (Montani, Ruffini & Zalaletdinov - 2000, 2003, 2004).

The macroscopic space-time geometry is non-Riemannian

★ The field equations of Macroscopic Gravity are the averaged Einstein's equations

$$\bar{g}^{\alpha\epsilon} M_{\epsilon\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}M_{\mu\nu} = -\kappa\langle T^{\alpha(\mathrm{micro})}_{\beta}\rangle + (Z^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\nu\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}Q_{\mu\nu})\bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \tag{21}$$

where $\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}$ is the averaged metric, $M_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor of the Riemannian curvature $M^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma\delta}$ which stands for the induction tensor, $Z^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\nu\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}Q_{\mu\nu}$ is the correlation tensor constructed from the correlation connection tensor,

$$Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma} \equiv Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta[\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\underline{\nu}\sigma]} = \langle \Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\beta[\gamma}\Gamma^{\mu}{}_{\underline{\nu}\sigma]}\rangle - \langle \Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\beta[\gamma}\rangle\langle\Gamma^{\mu}{}_{\underline{\nu}\sigma]}\rangle \tag{22}$$

.

★ There are separate equations for correlation tensors.

★ There is also a non-Riemannian curvature tensor which stands for average field tensor and it satisfies its own equations.

10. Macroscopic Gravity and Cosmology - 2

$\Rightarrow$ A study of the structure of the field equations of macroscopic gravity enables one to answer a fundamental question of Relativistic Cosmology about the physical meaning and the range of applicability of Einstein's equations with a continuous (smoothed) matter source:

The averaged Einstein's equations become Einstein's equations

$$M_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} G_{\alpha\beta} G^{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu} = -\kappa T^{(\mathrm{hydro})}_{\alpha\beta} \tag{23}$$

for the macroscopic metric $G_{\alpha\beta}$ with a smoothed stress-energy tensor if

all correlations functions vanish

11. The System of Macroscopic Gravity Equations

$\Rightarrow$ The Riemannian Sector:

(1.A) Define a line element for the macroscopic geometry

$$ds^2 = G_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta \tag{24}$$

in terms of the macroscopic metric tensor $G_{\alpha\beta}$ and calculate the Levi-Civita connection coefficients $\overline{\mathcal{F}}^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma} \equiv \langle \Gamma^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma} \rangle$

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}G^{\alpha\epsilon}(G_{\beta\epsilon,\gamma} + G_{\gamma\epsilon,\beta} - G_{\beta\gamma,\epsilon}). \tag{25}$$

and the Riemannian curvature tensor $M^\alpha{}_{\beta\rho\sigma}$

$$M^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma\delta} = 2\overline{\mathcal{F}}^\alpha{}_{\beta[\delta,\gamma]} + 2\overline{\mathcal{F}}^\alpha{}_{\epsilon[\gamma}\overline{\mathcal{F}}^\epsilon{}_{\underline{\beta}\delta]} \tag{26}$$

in terms of unknown metric functions.

(1.B) Determine the linearly independent components of the connection correlation tensor $Z^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma}$ satisfying the algebraic conditions:

(i) the antisymmetry in the third and sixth indices

$$Z^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma} = -Z^\alpha{}_{\beta\sigma}{}^\mu{}_{\nu\gamma}, \tag{27}$$

(ii) the antisymmetry in interchange of the pair of the first contravariant and the second covariant indices $\binom{\alpha}{\beta}$ with the pair of the second contravariant and the third covariant indices $\binom{\mu}{\nu}$

$$Z^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma} = -Z^\mu{}_{\nu\gamma}{}^\alpha{}_{\beta\sigma}, \tag{28}$$

(iii) the algebraic cyclic identities

$$Z^\alpha{}_{\beta[\gamma}{}^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma]} = 0, \tag{29}$$

(iv) the equi-affinity property

$$Z^\epsilon{}_{\epsilon\gamma}{}^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma} = 0. \tag{30}$$

The algebraic symmetries (27) and (28) result in

(v) the symmetry of interchange of the index triples $({}^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma})$ and $({}^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma})$

$$Z^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma} = Z^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma}{}^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma}. \tag{31}$$

Any algebraic symmetry from (i), (ii) and (v) follows from two other symmetry properties. There are generally 396 independent components.

11. The System of Macroscopic Gravity Equations - 2

(1.C) Make an ansatz about the functional form of the components of the correlation tensor $Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma}$ on the basis of symmetries and physical conditions of the macroscopic geometry.

(1.D) solve the integrability conditions for equations (33)

$$Z^{\epsilon}{}_{\beta[\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\underline{\nu}\sigma}M^{\alpha}{}_{\underline{\epsilon}\lambda\rho]} - Z^{\alpha}{}_{\epsilon[\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\underline{\nu}\sigma}M^{\epsilon}{}_{\underline{\beta}\lambda\rho]} + Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta[\gamma}{}^{\epsilon}{}_{\underline{\nu}\sigma}M^{\mu}{}_{\underline{\epsilon}\lambda\rho]} - Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta[\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\underline{\epsilon}\sigma}M^{\epsilon}{}_{\underline{\nu}\lambda\rho]} = 0. \qquad (32)$$

to find some independent components of $Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma}$ expressed in terms of unknown metric functions.

(1.E) solve the system of differential equations for the connection correlation tensor $Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma}$

$$Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta[\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\underline{\nu}\sigma\|\lambda]} = 0, \qquad (33)$$

to find the rest of the components of $Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma}$, including $Z^{\alpha}{}_{(\mu\nu)\beta}$, in terms of unknown metric functions.

The steps (1.A)-(1.E) solve the Riemannian Sector to find the Levi-Civita connection coefficients $\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}$, the Riemannian curvature tensor $M^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\rho\sigma}$, a part of components of the connection correlation tensor $Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma}$.

11. The System of Macroscopic Gravity Equations - 3

$\Rightarrow$ The non-Riemannian Sector:

(2.A) Determine the non-Riemannian curvature tensor $R^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\rho\sigma}$ from the Riemannian curvature tensor $M^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\rho\sigma}$ and the correlation tensor $Q^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\rho\sigma}$

$$R^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\rho\sigma} = M^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\rho\sigma} + Q^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\rho\sigma} \tag{34}$$

in terms of metric functions.

(2.B) For the unknown affine deformation tensor $A^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}$

$$A^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma} = \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma} - \Pi^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma} \tag{35}$$

solve the algebraic equation

$$A^{\epsilon}{}_{\beta[\rho}R^{\alpha}{}_{\underline{\epsilon}\sigma\lambda]} - A^{\alpha}{}_{\epsilon[\rho}R^{\epsilon}{}_{\underline{\beta}\sigma\lambda]} = 0 \tag{36}$$

to find some unknown components of the affine deformation tensor $A^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\rho}$ in terms of metric functions of the macroscopic metric tensor $G_{\alpha\beta}$.

(2.C) solve the system of equations for the affine deformation tensor $A^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\rho}$

$$A^{\alpha}{}_{\beta[\sigma\|\rho]} - A^{\alpha}{}_{\epsilon[\rho}A^{\epsilon}{}_{\underline{\beta}\sigma]} = -\frac{1}{2}Q^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\rho\sigma} \tag{37}$$

for all other components of the affine deformation tensor $A^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\rho}$.

The steps (2.A)-(2.C) solve completely the non-Riemannian Sector, to find the affine deformation tensor $A^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\rho}$, the non-metric connection $\Pi^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}$, the non-Riemannian curvature tensor $R^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\rho\sigma}$ and the non-metricity object $N_{\alpha\beta\rho}$.

$\Rightarrow$ The Correlation Sector:

(3.A) solve the quadratic algebraic conditions for the connection correlation tensor $Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma}$

$$\begin{aligned} &Z^{\delta}{}_{\beta[\gamma}{}^{\theta}{}_{\underline{\kappa}\pi}Z^{\alpha}{}_{\underline{\delta}\epsilon}{}^{\mu}{}_{\underline{\nu}\sigma]} + Z^{\delta}{}_{\beta[\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\underline{\nu}\sigma}Z^{\theta}{}_{\underline{\kappa}\pi}{}^{\alpha}{}_{\underline{\delta}\epsilon]} + Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta[\gamma}{}^{\delta}{}_{\underline{\nu}\sigma}Z^{\mu}{}_{\underline{\delta}\epsilon}{}^{\theta}{}_{\underline{\kappa}\pi]} + \\ &Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta[\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\underline{\delta}\epsilon}Z^{\theta}{}_{\underline{\kappa}\pi}{}^{\delta}{}_{\underline{\nu}\sigma]} + Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta[\gamma}{}^{\theta}{}_{\underline{\delta}\epsilon}Z^{\mu}{}_{\underline{\nu}\sigma}{}^{\delta}{}_{\underline{\kappa}\pi]} + Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta[\gamma}{}^{\delta}{}_{\underline{\kappa}\pi}Z^{\theta}{}_{\underline{\delta}\epsilon}{}^{\mu}{}_{\underline{\nu}\sigma]} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

to find some other independent components of of $Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma}$.

11. The System of Macroscopic Gravity Equations - 4

$\Rightarrow$ The Field Equation Sector:

(4.A) determine the gravitational stress-energy tensor $T_{\beta}^{\alpha(grav)}$ of macroscopic gravity

$$(Z^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\nu\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}Q_{\mu\nu})\bar{g}^{\mu\nu} = -\kappa T_{\beta}^{\alpha(grav)} \tag{39}$$

through the components of of $Z^{\alpha}{}_{(\mu\nu)\beta}$ expressed in terms of unknown metric and correlation tensor functions. An additional assumption regarding the structure of the gravitational stress-energy tensor $T_{\beta}^{\alpha(grav)}$ may be necessary.

(4.B) Assume that the averaged inverse metric $\bar{g}^{\alpha\beta}$ has the structure

$$\bar{g}^{\alpha\beta} = G^{\alpha\beta}, \tag{40}$$

that is, $\lambda = 1$ and the metric reducibility tensor $H^{\alpha\beta} = 0$, which is a reasonable requirement provided the macroscopic space-time is highly symmetric.

(4.C) Assume that the averaged microscopic stress-energy tensor $\langle t_{\beta}^{\alpha(\mathrm{micro})}\rangle$ can be taken as a perfect fluid energy-momentum tensor

$$\langle \mathbf{t}_{\beta}^{\alpha(\mathrm{micro})}\rangle = (\rho + p)u^{\alpha}u_{\beta} + p\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} \tag{41}$$

with the mass density ρ, the pressure p and the fluid 4-velocity u^{α}, $u^{\alpha}u_{\beta} = -1$, on the basis of phenomenological considerations with an equation of state

$$p = p(\rho). \tag{42}$$

(4.D) Solve the averaged Einstein's equations

$$G^{\alpha\epsilon}M_{\epsilon\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}G^{\mu\nu}M_{\mu\nu} = -\kappa\langle \mathbf{t}_{\beta}^{\alpha(\mathrm{micro})}\rangle + (Z^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\nu\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}Q_{\mu\nu})G^{\mu\nu} \tag{43}$$

for the unknown metric and correlation functions together with remaining equations for $Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma}$ and $A^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}$ following from the Riemannian, non-Riemannian and Correlation Sectors.

12. An Exact Cosmological Solution

⇒ Consider a flat spatially homogeneous, isotropic macroscopic space-time given by the Robertson-Walker line element with conformal time η

$$ds^2 = a^2(\eta)(-d\eta^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) \tag{44}$$

where $d\eta = a^{-1}(t)dt$ with a cosmological (coordinate) time t and $a^2(t)$ is an unknown function of the scale factor.

⇒ Make an ansatz about the functional form of the components of the correlation tensor $Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma}$ on the basis of symmetries and physical conditions of the macroscopic geometry (44). The simplest possible condition on $Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma}$ here which appears to be compatible with the structure of macroscopic space-time (44) is to require all of its components to be constant

$$Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma} = \text{const.} \tag{45}$$

From the physical point of view this condition means that we assume that the macroscopic gravitational correlations do not change in time and space.

⇒ The Macroscopic Gravity equations can be solved now to show that there is finally only one remaining independent component $Z^{3}{}_{23}{}^{3}{}_{32}$ of the connection correlation tensor $Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma}$ which is determined through an integration constant. Upon denoting constant $12Z^{3}{}_{23}{}^{3}{}_{32} = -\varepsilon$ the macroscopic gravitational stress-energy tensor has been shown to take the form

$$\kappa T^{(grav)}_{\alpha\beta} = \kappa G_{\alpha\epsilon} T^{\epsilon(grav)}_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{3}\varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3}\varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3}\varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \tag{46}$$

where $\varepsilon/\kappa a^2 = \rho_{grav}$ is the macroscopic gravitational correlation energy density and $-\varepsilon/3\kappa a^2 = p_{grav}$ is the isotropic pressure of macroscopic gravitational correlation field. Thus, the equation of state for the macroscopic gravitational correlation field is

$$\boxed{p_{grav} = p_{grav}(\rho_{grav}) = -\tfrac{1}{3}\rho_{grav}} \tag{47}$$

12. An Exact Cosmological Solution - 2

$\Rightarrow$ The trace of $T_\beta^{\epsilon(grav)}$ is

$$T_\epsilon^{\epsilon(grav)} = -\frac{2\varepsilon}{\kappa a^2} = -2\rho_{grav}, \quad T_\epsilon^{\epsilon(grav)} < 0 \text{ if } \rho_{grav} > 0, \tag{48}$$

that means from the physical point of view that the macroscopic energy is the bounding energy of the Universe. It acts like an tension in an elastic medium to keep it intact. An amount of work should be performed and an amount of energy should be used to change its state, size and shape. A negative pressure has the similar physical meaning. The bounding energy density is decreasing with an increasing scale factor.

$\Rightarrow$ A macroscopic distribution of the cosmological matter through the averaged microscopic stress-energy tensor $\langle t_\beta^{\alpha(\text{micro})}\rangle$ is taken as a perfect fluid energy-momentum tensor (41), (42).

$$\langle \mathbf{t}_{\alpha\beta}^{(\text{micro})}\rangle = G_{\alpha\epsilon}\langle \mathbf{t}_\beta^{\epsilon(\text{micro})}\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \rho a^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & pa^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & pa^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & pa^2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{49}$$

$\Rightarrow$ After transformation from the conformal time η to the cosmological time t the averaged Einstein equations (43) read

$$\left(\frac{\dot a}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{\kappa\rho}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3a^2}, \tag{50}$$

$$2\frac{\ddot a}{a} + \left(\frac{\dot a}{a}\right)^2 = -\kappa p + \frac{\varepsilon}{3a^2}, \tag{51}$$

or in terms of ρ_{grav} and p_{grav}

$$\left(\frac{\dot a}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{\kappa}{3}\left(\rho + \rho_{grav}\right), \tag{52}$$

$$2\frac{\ddot a}{a} + \left(\frac{\dot a}{a}\right)^2 = -\kappa\left(p + p_{grav}\right). \tag{53}$$

with the equations of state $p = p(\rho)$ (42) and $p_{grav} = -\frac{1}{3}\rho_{grav}$ (47).

12. An Exact Cosmological Solution - 3

⇒ They look similar to Einstein's equations of General Relativity for either a closed or an open spatially homogeneous, isotropic FLRW space-time, but they do have different mathematical and physical, and therefore, cosmological content since

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \frac{\kappa \rho_{grav} a^2}{3} \neq -k \tag{54}$$

in general.

⇒ The macroscopic (averaged) Einstein's equations for a flat spatially homogeneous, isotropic macroscopic space-time have macroscopic gravitational correlation terms of the form of a spatial curvature term

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{3a^2} = \frac{\kappa \rho_{grav}}{3}. \tag{55}$$

Thus, the theory of Macroscopic Gravity predicts that constant macroscopic gravitational correlation tensor

$$Z^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma} = \text{const.} \tag{56}$$

for a flat spatially homogeneous, isotropic macroscopic space-time

$$ds^2 = a^2(\eta)(-d\eta^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) \tag{57}$$

takes the form of

a dark spatial curvature term

★ IT INTERACTS ONLY GRAVITATIONALLY WITH THE MACROSCOPIC GRAVITATIONAL FIELD

★ IT DOES NOT INTERACT DIRECTLY WITH THE ENERGY-MOMENTUM TENSOR OF MATTER

★ IT EXHIBITS A NEGATIVE PRESSURE $p_{grav} = -\frac{1}{3}\rho_{grav}$ WHICH TENDS TO ACCELERATE THE UNIVERSE WHEN $\rho_{grav} > 0$.

12. An Exact Cosmological Solution - 4

$\Rightarrow$ Only if one requires $12Z^3{}_{23}{}^3{}_{32} = -\varepsilon$ to be

$$\varepsilon = -3k \tag{58}$$

the macroscopic (averaged) Einstein's equations become exactly Einstein's equations of General Relativity for either a closed or an open spatially homogeneous, isotropic space-time for the macroscopic geometry of a flat spatially homogeneous, isotropic space-time.

$\Rightarrow$ This exact solution of the Macroscopic Gravity equations exhibits a very non-trivial phenomenon from the point of view of the general-relativistic cosmology:

the macroscopic (averaged) cosmological evolution in a flat Universe is governed by the dynamical evolution equations for either a closed or an open Universe depending on the sign of the macroscopic energy density ρ_{grav} with a dark spatial curvature term $\kappa\rho_{grav}/3$

$\Rightarrow$ From the observational point of view such a cosmological model gives a new paradigm to reconsider the standard cosmological interpretation and treatment of the observational data.

Indeed, this macroscopic cosmological model has the Riemannian geometry of a flat homogeneous, isotropic space-time. Therefore, all measurements and data are to be considered and designed for this geometry. The dynamical interpretation of the obtained data should be considered and treated for the cosmological evolution of either a closed or an open spatially homogeneous, isotropic Riemannian space-time.

References

[1] R.M. Zalaletdinov, Gen. Rel. Grav. **24** (1992) 1015.

[2] R.M. Zalaletdinov, Gen. Rel. Grav. **25** (1993) 673.

[3] R.M. Zalaletdinov, Bull. Astr. Soc. India **25** (1997) 401; *gr-qc*/9703016, 11 p.

[4] M. Mars and R.M. Zalaletdinov, J. Math. Phys. **38** (1997) 4741.

[5] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Vol. I, (Interscience, New York, 1963).

[6] G. Montani, R. Ruffini and R. Zalaletdinov, Nuovo Cim. **115B** (2000) 1343.

[7] R.M. Zalaletdinov, Gen. Rel. Grav. **28** (1996) 953.

[8] R.M. Zalaletdinov, in: *Proc. of Inter. Symposium on Experimental Gravitation*, eds. M. Karim and A. Qadir (IOP, Bristol, 1994) p. A363.

[9] R.M. Zalaletdinov, in: *Inhomogeneous Cosmological Models*, eds. A. Molina and J.M.M. Senovilla (World Scientific, Singapore,1995), p. 91.

[10] R.M. Zalaletdinov, in: *Proc. of 7th Marcel Grossmann Meeting*, Part A, eds. R.T. Jantzen and G. Mac Keiser (World Scientific, Singapore, 1996) p. 394.

[11] J.A. Schouten and D.J. Struik, *Einführung in die neueren Methoden der Differentialgeometrie*, Vol. I (Noordhoff, Gr öningen-Batavia, 1935).

[12] D. Kramer, H. Stephani, M. MacCallum and E. Herlt, *Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations* (Deutsche Verlag der Wissenschaften and Cambridge University Press, Berlin and Cambridge, 1980).

[13] R.M. Zalaletdinov, Ann. European Acad. Sci. (2003) 344.

[14] R.A. Isaacson, Phys. Rev. **166** (1968) 1272 .

[15] A. Krasiński and R. Zalaletdinov, unpublished (1997).

[16] G. Montani, R. Ruffini and R. Zalaletdinov, Clas. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) 4195.

[17] G. Montani, R. Ruffini and R. Zalaletdinov, in: *Black Holes, Gravitational Waves and Cosmology* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2004), p. 354.

[18] A.A. Coley, N. Pelavas, and R.M. Zalaletdinov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 151102.